



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,856	11/22/2006	Timothy M. Spitzer	07TJ-116264	4641
30764 7590 10/05/2010 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP 12275 EL CAMINO REAL., SUITE 200 SAN DIEGO, CA 92130				
EXAMINER				
HOLT, ANDRIAE M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1616				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/05/2010		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/536,856  <b>Examiner</b> Andrae M. Holt	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SPITLER, TIMOTHY M.  <b>Art Unit</b> 1616
---	---	---

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Andrae M. Holt.

(2) Jeffrey McKinney, Attorney.

**Date of Interview:** 27 September 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  
  

Claims discussed:

  
  

Prior art documents discussed:

**Status of Application: 41**

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time: 2:00 pm**

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner contacted Mr. McKinney to determine the status of the Application. The examiner was informed that Mr. McKinney no longer worked for the firm. The examiner left a message for someone to return call to give information on the status. 9/28/10-No response. The examiner left another message. 9/29/2010-The examiner left a message with Lora for someone from the firm to return call to provide information on the status of the application. 9/30/2010- No response received from the firm. There has been no reply to the Office Action mailed March 17, 2010. The application is abandoned.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)